1922.

G.H.(Gg)

Department of the Board of Revenue

OFFICE Land Revenue

STATION Madras

Mis No. 94

Dated 25-1-1922

	Date	Initial.
To whom sent		
Given for copying	3 P.M.	
Copied	6	
Examined	26/1	
Signed		
Given for despatch		
Despatched	26	
Indexed	30-1-22	
Pages in the file	To be destroyed on	

SUBJECT.)

Escheat (S. Kanara) - Mangalore tk - Properties of late Kate Pachaffa Shetty - Gift to widow & son - Pachhu Sanghini - Rep. Commr.

Ref.No.5746-21-V3. South Kanara Collector's Office,
Camp: Kulgunda, 10th Decr. 1921,

From

R. H. Ellis, Esquire, I.C.S.,
Collector of South Kanara.

A5-16

To

The Secretary to the Board,
Land Revenue, Madras.

*****

Escheat - Amtur property - Deceased Pachappa Shetty - Gift to widow and son.

The above property situated in Mangalore Taluk was sold in March last, agreeably to B.P.No.1123 Mis., dated 14th August 1918 and the price fetched was Rs.32,310/-. One-fourth of this amount viz. Rs.8077-8-0 was offered to the late Pachappa Shetty's son and widow as ordered in G.O.No.455, Revenue, dated 17th February 1909, communicated with B.P.No.798 Mis., Land Revenue, dated 13th March 1909 and they were asked to produce a joint receipt. In reply the widow has submitted a petition to me stating that her son had been misappropriating the one-fourth of the rents that was being annually paid to them hitherto and that if this sum also were paid to her son, he would misappropriate the whole amount and that therefore the amount should be paid to them separately, she being given ¼, as the two --- minor children of her deceased daughter are also dependent upon her. From enquiry it appears that these allegations are true, that the widow has no property whatever from --- which to maintain herself and her grand-children and that she has been left to herself to seek her own livelihood.

2. I presume that the Government while passing the --- order No.455, referred to above were not aware that the --- late Pachappa Shetty had also a minor daughter who was --- then unmarried. No doubt, the father of the children --- who is reported to be a man belonging to a rich family is alive, but according to the Aliyasantana Law by which --- these parties are governed he is not bound to maintain the

children and they are therefore under the protection of the mother's mother.

3. In these circumstances, I think it just and proper -- to divide the amount into three shares and to pay two shares to the widow for herself and for the grand-children and one share to the son and request that the Board may be pleased to obtain the sanction of the Government to the proposed ---- division.

For Collector.

A5216/21 – A.

Ref. No. A5216/21-1, dt 3.1.1922

Submitted to Govt.

2. The Bd supports the Coll's recommendation.

To The Secy to Govt. Rev.

Note

Please see para 6 (c) of G.O. No. 197.

Escheat (S Kanara). Mangalore Tk.
Property of Pachappa Shetty – Gift to widow & son.

Sectt. II-26 (a)—29,692—11-2-21.

Received 21 JAN 1922 192 . Registered A 421 192 .

Abstract.

Escheat- (South Kanara) Mangalore taluk- properties of the late Pachappa Shetty- gift to widow and son- partition- sanctioned.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. Mis. 123 , dated 19th January 192 2

ENCLOSURE

No.

READ the following papers:-

G.O.No.455 Revenue, dated 17-2-1909. (M)

From the Board of Revenue, Land Revenue, Ref.No.A. 5216-21-1, dated 3rd January 1922.

ORDER.

The proposal of the Board of Revenue is approved.

(By Order of the Governor in Council)

E.W. Legh,

Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue, Land Revenue.

Forwarded to the Board of Revenue, Land Revenue.

(By Order)

A 5216/21 } -2 S. Raghavaiyangar 20-1-22
A 424/22 }

Rev(Mis) 94 25.1.22 Superintendent.

TKG/20-1-22

The Govt. have approved the proposal of the Coll.

24-1-22

To the Coll. of S. Kanara.